# THE WATERSHED APPROACH IN THE BALTIMORE ECOSYSTEM STUDY

# Peter M. Groffman<sup>1</sup>, Lawrence E. Band<sup>2</sup>, Kenneth T. Belt<sup>3</sup>, Sujay S. Kaushal<sup>4</sup> <sup>1</sup>Cary Institute of Ecosystem Studies, Millbrook, NY, <sup>2</sup>University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, <sup>3</sup>USDA Forest Service, Baltimore MD, <sup>4</sup>University of Maryland, College Park, MD

## Introduction

Every piece of land is part of a watershed (the land water travels through to reach a particular stream or other waterbody). The watershed approach (monitoring watershed inputs and outputs of) is like urinalysis, where doctors monitor chemicals in the urine to assess patient health. In BES, we are conducting longterm watershed studies of nutrients like nitrogen and phosphorus to help understand how urban watersheds respond to changes like new sewage systems and climate change. Studies of riparian (streamside) zones are an important part of these studies, because their position in the watershed allows them to function as a "buffer zone" that can prevent pollutants from washing into streams, and into the Chesapeake Bay.

### Materials and methods

Every week, BES scientists sample a network of stream sites in streams that flow through different types of land - agricultural, forest, rural/suburban, new suburban, even right in the core of the city. Stream gauging stations were built and maintained by the US Geological Survey. No attempt is made to avoid wet weather, so as to retain a random component to the sampling scheme. Both filtered (nitrate, phosphate, chloride, sulfate by ion chromatography) and unfiltered (total nitrogen, total phosphorus by persulfate digestion followed by analysis of nitrate and phosphate) samples are analyzed.



Figure 1. BES long-term watershed sampling sites.

# Results

Urban and suburban watersheds consistently have nitrate concentrations that are higher than forested watersheds, but lower than agricultural watersheds (Figure 1). Nitrate is of great concern as a cause of eutrophication in Chesapeake Bay.



Figure 2. Nitrate concentrations in streams draining rural forested (Pond Branch), suburban (Glyndon) and agricultural (McDonogh), urban (Rognel Heights) watersheds near Baltimore, MD from October 1998 - Jun 2010.

Suburban watershed input/output budgets for nitrogen (Figure 3) have shown surprisingly high retention which has led to detailed analysis of sources and sinks in these watersheds:

• Home lawns, thought to be major sources of N in suburban watersheds, have more complex coupled carbon and N dynamics than previously thought, and are likely the site of much N retention.

• Riparian zones, thought to be an important sink for N in many watersheds, have turned out be N sources in urban watersheds due to hydrologic changes that disconnect streams from their surrounding landscape (Figure 4).

o In-stream retention, thought to be an important sink for N in forested watersheds is reduced by structural degradation caused by urban runoff.

	Suburban	Forested	Agriculture			
	kg N ha-1 y-1					
Inputs						
Atmosphere	8.7	8.7	8.7			
Fertilizer	13.9	0	100			
TOTAL	22.6	8.7	108.7			
<u>Outputs</u>						
Streamflow	6.5	0.52	16.4			
Retention						
Mass	16.1	8.2	92.3			
Percent	71	94	85			

iqure 3.	<b>N BUDGETS</b>	1999 - 2001.	From Gr	offman et a	al. 2004



# Conclusions

Urban watersheds are significant sources

- of nitrogen and phosphorus to
- Chesapeake Bay, but are not as high as agricultural watersheds.

There is significant potential for nitrogen retention in urban watersheds that needs

- to be understood and managed to
- improve the environmental performance of these watersheds.

There are some surprising "sinks" (e.g., lawns) and "sources" (e.g. riparian zones) of nitrogen in urban watersheds

### **Selected publications:**

- Groffman, P. M., D. J. Bain, L. E. Band, K. T. Belt, G. S. Brush, J. M. Grove, R. V. Pouyat, I. C. Yesilonis, and W. C. Zipperer. 2003. Down by the riverside: urban riparian ecology. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 1:315-321.
- Groffman, P. M., N. J. Boulware, W. C. Zipperer, R. V. Pouyat, L. E. Band, and M. F. Colosimo. 2002. Soil nitrogen cycle processes in urban riparian zones. Environmental Science & Technology 36:4547-4552
- Groffman, P. M., N. L. Law, K. T. Belt, L. E. Band, and G. T. Fisher. 2004. Nitrogen fluxes and retention in urban watershed ecosystems. Ecosystems 7:393-403.
- Kaushal, S. S., P. M. Groffman, G. E. Likens, K. T. Belt, W. P. Stack, V. R. Kelly, L. E. Band, and G. T. Fisher. 2005. Increased salinization of fresh water in the northeastern United States. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 102:13517-13520.
- Kaushal. S., P.M. Groffman, L.E. Band, E.M. Elliott, C.A. Shields and C. Kendall. 2011. Tracking nonpoint source nitrogen pollution in human-impacted watersheds. Environmental Science & Technology 45:8225-8232.
- Kaushal, S. S., M. L. Pace, P. M. Groffman, L. E. Band, K. T. Belt, P. M. Mayer, and C. Welty. 2010. Land use and climate variability amplify contaminant pulses. EOS 91:221-222.
- Shields, C. A., L. E. Band, N. Law, P. M. Groffman, S. S. Kaushal, K. Savvas, G. T. Fisher, and K. T. Belt. 2008. Streamflow distribution of non-point source nitrogen export from urban-rural catchments in the Chesapeake Bay watershed. Water Resources Research 44:W09416, DOI:09410.01029/02007WR006360.
- Sivirichi, G. M., S. S. Kaushal, P. M. Mayer, C. Welty, K. T. Belt, T. A. Newcomer, K. D. Newcomb, and M. M. Grese. 2011. Longitudinal variability in streamwater chemistry and carbon and nitrogen fluxes in restored and degraded urban stream networks. Journal of Environmental Monitoring 13:288-303.

### Acknowledgments

This work was funded by National Science Foundation Long Term Ecological Research Program. Special thanks to Dan Dillon, Lisa Martel and many other field and laboratory technicans who have carried out these measurements

